

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/722,373	GOGER, KARL	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NAVNEET K. AHLUWALIA	2166	

**All Participants:**

(1) MICHAEL COX (Regd. No. 47505).

(2) NAVNEET K. AHLUWALIA.

**Date of Interview:** 19 February 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 12:00pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential 101 rejection*

Claims discussed:

*claim 21*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A call was made to applicant's representative to overcome a potential 101 rejection by an examiner's amendment to allow the case. The approval was given by the attorney Michael Cox (Regd. No. 47505) to make the necessary amendments.*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)